JUDICIAL IMPACT FISCAL NOTE

JUDICIA	— 11711 /	70					•
Bill Number: 2316 SHB	Title: Fees for Prostitution and Trafficking				Agency: 055 – Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)		
Part I: Estimates							
☐ No Fiscal Impact							
Estimated Cash Receipts to:							
	FY 2020	FY 2	021	1 2019-21		2021-23	2023-25
		- · · -	-				
Total:							
Estimated Expenditures from	FY 2020	FY 2	024	2019	24 6	2021-23	2023-25
FTE – Staff Years	F1 2020	FIZ	021	2019	-21 /	2021-23	2023-23
Account							
General Fund – State (001-1)							
State Subtotal							
COUNTY							
County FTE Staff Years							
Account							
Local - Counties							
Counties Subtotal							
CITY							
City FTE Staff Years							
Account							
Local – Cities							
Cities Subtotal							
Local Subtotal							
Total Estimated Expenditures:							
The revenue and expenditure estimate expenditures may be subject to the process of the control	ovisions of RCN rresponding ins 000 per fiscal ye per fiscal year	W 43.135 tructions ear in the	.060. current l	oiennium	n or in subs	sequent bier	inia, complete
Agency Preparation: Sam Knutson			Phone: 360-704-5528			Date: 1/27/2020	

Phone: 360-357-2406

Phone:

Date:

Date:

Ramsey Radwan

Agency Approval:

OFM Review:

Part II: Narrative Explanation

This bill would increase the additional fee charged to a first-time offender found to have committed the crimes of Patronizing a Prostitute or Permitting Prostitution from \$1,500 to \$2,500; decrease the amount by which a court can reduce additional fees charged to a person who commits a prostitution or trafficking-related offense; eliminate the additional \$50 fee charged to a person who commits prostitution; and require five percent of fee revenues to be remitted to the Department of Commerce to be used for training through the Office of Crime Victim's Advocacy.

This bill differs from HB 2316 by removing the three percent of fee revenues to be remitted to the Administrative Office of the Courts. These amounts instead would be remitted to the Department of Commerce to be used to support training through the Office of Crime Victim's Advocacy.

Part II.A – Brief Description of what the Measure does that has fiscal impact on the Courts

Section 1(1)(b) – Would provide that a court may not reduce, waive, or suspend payment of all or part of the fee assessed unless it finds that the adult defender does not have the ability to pay, in which case it may reduce the fee by an amount up to one-half of the maximum allowable fee.

Section 1(2)(c) – Would provide that three percent of the revenue from fees imposed under this section shall be remitted quarterly to the AOC. This revenue would be required to be used for the sole purpose of supporting judicial training on the requirements of this section and the subject of victim-centered and trauma-informed practices in addressing trafficking.

Section 2(1) – Would increase the additional fee charged to a first-time offender found to have committed the crimes of Patronizing a Prostitute or Permitting Prostitution from \$1,500 to \$2,500.

Section 3(4)(c) – Would provide that at the time of arraignment or a proceeding under subsection (6)(a) of this section, whichever is earlier, a court shall make a finding in writing as to the proper jurisdiction to receive the funds in accordance with the requirements of this subsection.

Section 3(4)(c)(ii) - Would provide that five percent of the revenue from fees imposed under this section shall be remitted quarterly to the Department of Commerce. Of the revenues remitted to the Department of Commerce, at least sixty percent must be spent on training through the Office of Crime Victims Advocacy.

II.B - Cash Receipt Impact

Indeterminate. It is unknown how many persons would be convicted of the crimes of Patronizing a Prostitute or Permitting Prostitution. Further, the maximum fine is not always ordered, not all fines are collected in full, and fines sometimes take years to collect.

II.C – Expenditures

This bill would require new account coding and revisions to existing accounting systems. These impacts would be managed within existing resources.

Judicial education would be required. This would be managed within existing resources.